

**REMARKS**

In section 4 of the Office Action, the Examiner acknowledges that claims 2-12 would be allowable if rewritten to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Claim 1 has been amended to incorporate the limitations of original claim 2. Claim 8-10 have been rewritten into independent claims. Thus, the Applicants believe that claims 1 and 8-10 should now be allowed. Claim 2 has been canceled to avoid duplicity. Claims 3-7 should also be allowed, at least by virtue of their dependency from claim 1. The term "moveably" has been corrected to "movably" throughout the claims.

Upon amendment, this application will have 5 independent claims (claims 1, 8-10 and 13) and 19 total claims (claims 1 and 3-20). Enclosed please find a form and fee for excess claims.

**Disagreement matter of record**

In section 2 of the Office Action, the Examiner rejects claim 1 under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by Miller et al. The Applicants respectfully disagree with the rejection and wish to make their disagreement a matter of record. The Applicants believe that Miller et al. does not show all limitations of claim 1, for example, "a first latch, movably disposed at the chassis, for detachably engaging the pan so that the pan combines with the chassis".

However, being desirous to conserve time and funds, the Applicants agree to adopt the Examiner's recommendations. The Applicants understand that claims 2-12 will be allowed if re-written in independent form including all of the limitations of the base and intervening claims.

The Applicants reserve the right to seek protection for any unclaimed subject matter either subsequently in the prosecution of the present case or in a divisional or continuation application.

The Applicants have attempted to address all of the issues raised by the Examiner in the Office Action as the Applicants understand them. The Applicants believe that the Application is now in condition for allowance. If any point requires further explanation, the Examiner is invited to telephone Troy Cai at (323) 934-2300 or e-mail Troy Cai at [tcai@ladasparry.com](mailto:tcai@ladasparry.com).

The Commissioner is authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required or credit overpayment to deposit account No. 12-0415. In particular, if this response is not timely filed, then the Commissioner is authorized to treat this response as including a petition to extend the time period pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136 (a) requesting an extension of time of the number of months necessary to make this response timely filed and the petition fee due in connection therewith may be charged to deposit account no. 12-0415.

Enclosed please find a copy of Troy Guangyu Cai's Notice of Limited Recognition under 35 CFR 10.9(b) to prepare and prosecute patent applications wherein the patent applicant is a client of Ladas & Parry, and


the attorney of record in the applications is a registered practitioner who is a member of Ladas & Parry.

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Post Office with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on September 16, 2003

(Date of Deposit)

Troy Guangyu Cai

(Name of Person Signing)

  
(Signature)

9/16/2003  
(Date)

Respectfully submitted,

  
Troy Guangyu Cai  
Attorney for Applicant  
LADAS & PARRY  
5670 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 2100  
Los Angeles, California 90036  
(323) 934-2300